

## AMENDED COMPLIANCE PLAN (Submitted to U.S. Federal District Court – October 30, 2002

On August 1, 2002, the United States District Court for the Western District of Michigan ordered the Michigan High School Athletic Association to switch the seasons for girls basketball and girls volleyball. The District Court also required the MHSAA to select from three options (appearing on pages 11-12 of the Court's August 1, 2002, Opinion) and to notify the Court in writing by October 30, 2002, of additional changes involving the other sports at issue in this case (golf, soccer, swimming and diving, and tennis).

The Defendant notifies the Court that it selects Option 2.

1. Specifically, in the Lower Peninsula, MHSAA tournaments for golf and tennis for girls and boys will be switched: boys golf will be offered in the spring; girls golf in the fall; boys tennis will be offered in the fall; girls tennis in the spring.

The MHSAA Upper Peninsula golf and swimming and diving tournaments will each be maintained in the same season for boys and girls (golf in spring; swimming and diving in winter). The MHSAA will offer Upper Peninsula soccer tournaments for girls in the fall and boys in the spring.

2. All of the existing rules and regulations that have applied to a sport and season for one gender will be applied to the other gender when the MHSAA tournaments in that sport are reversed. For example, when girls and boys tennis tournaments are reversed, each gender will æsume the starting dates, maximum scrimmages and games, etc., that served the other gender prior to the tournament switch.

3. Pursuant to the requirements of applicable law, Defendant may respond to member schools' requests to change the seasons of MHSAA tournaments.

4. Defendant may offer or delete tournaments for sports in response to member schools' sponsorship of those sports.

5. Implementation of these changes is subject to the appeal currently pending with the Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals, File No. 02-1127, any subsequent appeals, and the entry of any existing or future orders staying implementation of lower court orders.

6. Defendant reserves the right to respond affirmatively to member schools should they desire to restore the existing scheduling of its tournaments if there is a change in applicable law.